arXiv:1304.6958v1 [math.ST] 25 Apr 2013

Estimation adaptative dans le modèle single-index par l'approche d'oracle *

Oleg Lepski[1] & Nora Serdyukova[2]

[1] *Laboratoire d'Analyse, Topologie, Probabilités UMR 7353, Aix-Marseille Université*
39, rue F. Joliot Curie 13453 Marseille FRANCE.
E-mail : Oleg.Lepski@cmi.univ-mrs.fr
[2] *Institute for Mathematical Stochastics, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen*
Goldschmidtstraße 7, 37077 Göttingen GERMANY.
E-mail : Nora.Serdyukova@gmail.com

Résumé. Dans le cadre de l'estimation non paramétrique d'une fonction multidimensionnelle nous nous intéressons à l'adaptation structurelle. Nous supposons que la fonction à estimer possède la structure « single-index » dans laquelle ni fonction de lien ni vecteur d'indice ne sont connus. Nous proposons une nouvelle procédure qui s'adapte simultanément à l'indice inconnu ainsi qu'à la régularité de la fonction de lien. Nous présentons une inégalité d'oracle « locale » (définie par la semi-norme ponctuelle) pour la procédure proposée, qui est ensuite utilisée pour obtenir la borne supérieure du risque maximal sous une hypothèse de régularité sur la fonction de lien. D'après la borne inférieure obtenue pour le risque minimax l'estimateur construit est un estimateur adaptatif optimal sur l'ensemble de classes considérées. Pour la même procédure on établit également une inégalité d'oracle « globale » (en norme L_r, $r < \infty$) et étudie sa performance sur les classes de Nikol'skii. Cette étude montre que la méthode proposée peut être appliquée à l'estimation de fonctions ayant une régularité inhomogène.

Mots-clés. Estimation adaptative, Borne inférieure, Vitesse minimax, Inégalité d'oracle, Modèle single-index, Adaptation structurelle, Régularité inhomogène.

Abstract. In the framework of nonparametric multivariate function estimation we are interested in structural adaptation. We assume that the function to be estimated possesses the "single-index" structure where neither the link function nor the index vector is known. We propose a novel procedure that adapts simultaneously to the unknown index and smoothness of link function. For the proposed procedure, we present a "local" oracle inequality (described by the pointwise seminorm), which is then used to obtain the upper bound on the maximal risk under regularity assumption on the link function. The lower bound on the minimax risk shows that the constructed estimator is optimally rate adaptive over the considered range of classes. For the same procedure we also establish a "global" oracle inequality (under the L_r norm, $r < \infty$) and study its performance

∗. Funding of the ANR-07-BLAN-0234 is acknowledged. The second author is also supported by the DFG FOR 916.

over the Nikol'skii classes. This study shows that the proposed method can be applied to estimating functions of inhomogeneous smoothness.

Keywords. Adaptive estimation, Lower bounds, Minimax rate, Oracle inequality, Single-index model, Structural adaptation, Inhomogeneous smoothness.

Model and set-up. We observe a path $\{Y_\varepsilon(t), t \in \mathcal{D}\}$ satisfying the equation

$$Y_\varepsilon(\mathrm{d}t) = F(t)\mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon W(\mathrm{d}t)\ , \quad t = (t_1, \ldots, t_d) \in [-1,1]^d, \tag{1}$$

where W is a Brownian sheet and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. We consider $d = 2$ except the second assertion of Theorem 2 concerning a lower bound for function estimation at a point. Additionally, we assume that the function F has the single-index structure, i.e. there exist an unknown link function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and an index vector $\theta^* \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that

$$F(x) = f(x^\top \theta^*). \tag{2}$$

We suppose that $f \in \mathbb{F}_M = \{g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \mid \sup_{u\in\mathbb{R}} |g(u)| \le M\}$ for some $M > 0$, however its knowledge is not required for the estimation procedure. Our aim is to estimate the entire function F on $[-1/2, 1/2]^2$ or its value $F(x)$ from the observation $\{Y_\varepsilon(t), t \in \mathcal{D}\}$ without any prior knowledge of the nuisance parameters f and θ^*. The quality of estimation is measured by $\mathcal{R}_r^{(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}, F) = \mathbb{E}_F^\varepsilon \|\widehat{F} - F\|_r$, where $\|\cdot\|_r$ is the L_r norm on $[-1/2, 1/2]^2$, $r \in [1, \infty)$, or by the "pointwise" risk $\mathcal{R}_{r,x}^{(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}, F) = (\mathbb{E}_F^\varepsilon |\widehat{F}(x) - F(x)|^r)^{1/r}$.

Objectives. The goal of our study is at least threefold. First, we seek an estimation procedure $\widehat{F}(x), x \in [-1/2, 1/2]^2$, for F which could be applicable to any function F satisfying (2). Moreover, we want to bound the risk of this estimator uniformly over the set $\mathbb{F}_M \times \mathbb{S}^1$. More precisely, we establish for $\widehat{F}(x)$ the local oracle inequality :

$$\mathcal{R}_{r,x}^{(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}, F) \le C_r A_{f,\theta^*}^{(\varepsilon)}(x), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{F}_M, \ \ \forall \theta^* \in \mathbb{S}^1, \ \ \forall x \in [-1/2, 1/2]^2. \tag{3}$$

Here the quantity $A_{f,\theta^*}^{(\varepsilon)}$ is completely determined by the function f, vector θ^* and noise level ε, while C_r is a numerical constant independent of F and ε. Next, we apply this result to minimax adaptive estimation over the scale of $\mathbb{H}(\beta, L)$, Hölder classes of functions, see Definition 1. In particular, we find the minimax rate over $\mathbb{H}(\beta, L) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and prove that our estimator $\widehat{F}$ achieves that rate, i.e. is optimally adaptive. This result is quite surprising because, if θ^* is fixed, say $\theta^* = (1, 0)^\top$, then it is well known that an optimally adaptive estimator does not exist, see [5].

Note also that local oracle inequality (3) allows us to bound from above the "global" risk as well : $\mathcal{R}_r^{(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}, F) \le C_r \|A_{f,\theta^*}^{(\varepsilon)}\|_r$. The latter is a global oracle inequality. As local oracle inequality (3) is a powerful tool for deriving minimax adaptive results in pointwise estimation, so global oracle inequality can be used for constructing adaptive estimators of the entire function F. We will consider the collection of Nikol'skii classes $\mathbb{N}_p(\beta, L)$, see Definition 2, where $\beta, L > 0$ and $1 \le p < \infty$. It is important to emphasize that these

classes allow estimating functions of inhomogeneous smoothness, i.e. those which can be very regular on some parts of the observation domain and rather irregular on the others.

The adaptation to the unknown parameters θ^* and f can be formulated in terms of selection from a special family of kernel estimators in the spirit of the Lepski and Goldenschluger-Lepski selection rules, see [5, 4, 3]. However, the proposed here procedure is quite different from the aforementioned ones, and it allows us to solve the problem of minimax adaptive estimation under the L_r losses over a collection of Nikol'skii classes.

In Section 1 we explain the proposed selection rule and give the oracle inequalities. Section 2 is devoted to the application of these results to minimax adaptive estimation.

1 Oracle approach

Let $\mathcal{K}:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function (kernel) satisfying $\int\mathcal{K}=1$. With any $\mathcal{K}$, any $z\in\mathbb{R}$, $h\in(0,1]$ and $f\in\mathbb{F}_M$ we associate $\Delta_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,z)=\sup_{\delta\le h}|\delta^{-1}\int\mathcal{K}([u-z]/\delta)(f(u)-f(z))\mathrm{d}u|$, a monotonous approximation error of the kernel smoother $\delta^{-1}\int\mathcal{K}([u-z]/\delta)f(u)\mathrm{d}u$. In particular, if the function f is uniformly continuous, then $\Delta_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,z)\to 0$ as $h\to 0$. We will assume that the kernels are compactly supported symmetric Lipschitz functions.

Oracle estimator. For any $y\in\mathbb{R}$ define $\overline{\Delta}_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,y)=\sup_{a>0}(2a)^{-1}\int_{y-a}^{y+a}\Delta_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,z)\mathrm{d}z$, and $\Delta^*_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,\cdot):=\max\Big\{\overline{\Delta}_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,\cdot),\Delta_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,\cdot)\Big\}$. Define the oracle bandwidth :

$$\text{for any } y\in\mathbb{R}\quad h^*_{\mathcal{K},f}(y)\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}\sup\Big\{h\in[\varepsilon^2,1]:\ \sqrt{h}\,\Delta^*_{\mathcal{K},f}(h,y)\le\|\mathcal{K}\|_\infty\varepsilon\sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)}\Big\}. \tag{4}$$

In what follows we assume that $\varepsilon\le\exp\Big\{-\max[1,(2M\|\mathcal{K}\|_1\|\mathcal{K}\|_\infty^{-1})^2]\Big\}$. This assumption provides the well-defined $h^*_{\mathcal{K},f}$ and can be relaxed in several ways.

The quantity similar to the defined above $h^*_{\mathcal{K},f}$ first appeared in [7] in the context of the estimating univariate functions possessing inhomogeneous smoothness. Some years later this approach has been developed in [4] and [3] for multivariate function estimation. In these papers, the interested reader can find a detailed discussion of the oracle approach.

For any $(\theta,h)\in\mathbb{S}^1\times[\varepsilon^2,1]$ define the matrix $E_{(\theta,h)}=\begin{pmatrix} h^{-1}\theta_1 & h^{-1}\theta_2\\ -\theta_2 & \theta_1\end{pmatrix}$, $\det(E_{(\theta,h)})=h^{-1}$, and consider the family of kernel estimators

$$\mathcal{F}=\Big\{\widehat{F}_{(\theta,h)}(\cdot)=\det(E_{(\theta,h)})\int K\Big(E_{(\theta,h)}(t-\cdot)\Big)Y_\varepsilon(\mathrm{d}t),\ \ (\theta,h)\in\mathbb{S}^1\times[\varepsilon^2,1]\Big\}.$$

Here $K(u,v)=\mathcal{K}(u)\mathcal{K}(v)$, where $\mathcal{K}$ obeys the above conditions. Note that

$$\widehat{F}_{(\theta,h)}(\cdot)-\mathbb{E}^\varepsilon_F\left[\widehat{F}_{(\theta,h)}(\cdot)\right]\quad\sim\quad\mathcal{N}\left(0,\|\mathcal{K}\|_2^4\varepsilon^2h^{-1}\right). \tag{5}$$

The choice $\theta=\theta^*$ and $h=h^*:=h^*_{\mathcal{K},f}(x^T\theta^*)$ leads to the *oracle* (depending of F) estimator $\widehat{F}_{(\theta^*,h^*)}(\cdot)$. The meaning of this estimator is explained by the following result.

Proposition 1. *For any* $(f,\theta^*)\in\mathbb{F}_M\times\mathbb{S}^1$, $r\ge 1$ *and* ε *as above we have*

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\varepsilon)}_{r,x}\Big(\widehat{F}_{(\theta^*,h^*)},F\Big)\le \mathfrak{c}_r\|\mathcal{K}\|^2_\infty\varepsilon\sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)/h^*_{\mathcal{K},f}(x^\top\theta^*)},\forall x\in[-1/2,1/2]^2,$$

with $\mathfrak{c}_r=\Big[\mathbb{E}\Big(1+|\varsigma|\Big)^r\Big]^{1/r}$, $\varsigma\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

This result means that the "oracle" knows the value of the index θ^* and the optimal, up to $\ln(1/\varepsilon)$, trade-off h^* between the approximation error determined by $\Delta^*_{\mathcal{K},f}(h^*,\cdot)$ and the stochastic error provided by the kernel estimator with the bandwidth h^*, cf. (5). That explains why the "oracle" chooses the "estimator" $\widehat{F}_{(\theta^*,h^*)}$. Below we propose a "real" (based on the observation) estimator $\widehat{F}(\cdot)$, which mimics the oracle. The construction of the estimator $\widehat{F}(\cdot)$ is based on the data-driven selection from the family $\mathcal{F}$.

Selection rule. For any $\theta,\nu\in\mathbb{S}^1$ and any $h\in[\varepsilon^2,1]$ define the matrices

$$\overline{E}_{(\theta,h)(\nu,h)}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{(\theta_1+\nu_1)}{2h(1+|\nu^\top\theta|)} & \frac{(\theta_2+\nu_2)}{2h(1+|\nu^\top\theta|)}\\ -\frac{(\theta_2+\nu_2)}{2(1+|\nu^\top\theta|)} & \frac{(\theta_1+\nu_1)}{2(1+|\nu^\top\theta|)}\end{pmatrix},\quad E_{(\theta,h)(\nu,h)}=\begin{cases}\overline{E}_{(\theta,h)(\nu,h)}, & \nu^\top\theta\ge 0;\\ \overline{E}_{(-\theta,h)(\nu,h)}, & \nu^\top\theta<0.\end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $(4h)^{-1}\le\det(E_{(\theta,h)(\nu,h)})\le(2h)^{-1}$. The corresponding kernel estimator is defined by $\widehat{F}_{(\theta,h)(\nu,h)}(x)=\det(E_{(\theta,h)(\nu,h)})\int K(E_{(\theta,h)(\nu,h)}(t-x))Y_\varepsilon(\mathrm{d}t)$. For any $\eta\in(0,1]$ let $\mathrm{TH}(\eta)=C(r,\mathcal{K})\varepsilon\sqrt{\eta^{-1}\ln(1/\varepsilon)}$, the constant $C(r,\mathcal{K})$ is given in [6], page 7.

Set $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon=\Big\{h_k=2^{-k},\ k=0,1,\dots\Big\}\cap[\varepsilon^2,1]$ and define for any $\theta\in\mathbb{S}^1$ and $h\in\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$

$$R_{(\theta,h)}(x)=\sup_{\eta\in\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon:\,\eta\le h}\Big\{\sup_{\nu\in\mathbb{S}^1}\Big|\widehat{F}_{(\theta,\eta)(\nu,\eta)}(x)-\widehat{F}_{(\nu,\eta)}(x)\Big|-\mathrm{TH}(\eta)\Big\}.$$

For any x introduce the random set $\mathcal{P}(x)=\{(\theta,h)\in\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon:R_{(\theta,h)}(x)\le 0\}$, and let $\widetilde{h}=\max\{h:(\theta,h)\in\mathcal{P}(x)\}$ if $\mathcal{P}(x)\ne\emptyset$. Note that there exists $\vartheta\in\mathbb{S}^1$ such that $(\vartheta,\widetilde{h})\in\mathcal{P}(x)$, since the set $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ is finite. Denote $\widehat{\Theta}:=\{\theta\in\mathbb{S}^1:(\theta,\widetilde{h})\in\mathcal{P}(x)\}$. If $\mathcal{P}(x)\ne\emptyset$, put $\widehat{\theta}=\theta$ such that $\theta\in\widehat{\Theta}$; otherwise $\widehat{\theta}:=(1,0)^\top$. If $\widehat{\theta}$ is not unique, let us make any measurable choice. For instance, one can choose $\widehat{\theta}\in\widehat{\Theta}$ with the smallest first coordinate. Put as a final estimator $\widehat{F}(x)=\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{h})}(x)$, where

$$\widehat{h}=\sup\Big\{h\in\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon:\ \Big|\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta},h)}(x)-\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta},\eta)}(x)\Big|\le\mathrm{TH}(\eta),\ \forall\eta\le h,\ \eta\in\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon\Big\}.$$

Theorem 1. ***Local and global oracle inequalities.*** *For any* $(f,\theta^*)\in\mathbb{F}_M\times\mathbb{S}^1$, $r>0$

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\varepsilon)}_{r,x}\Big(\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{h})},F\Big)\ \le\ C_{r,1}(\mathcal{K})\sqrt{\frac{\|\mathcal{K}\|^4_\infty\varepsilon^2\ln(1/\varepsilon)}{h^*_{\mathcal{K},f}(x^T\theta^*)}}+C_{r,2}(M,\mathcal{K})\|\mathcal{K}\|^2_\infty\varepsilon\sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)},\ \forall x\in\Big[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\Big]^2;$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\varepsilon)}_{r}\Big(\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{h})},F\Big)\ \le\ C_{r,1}(\mathcal{K})\left\|\sqrt{\frac{\|\mathcal{K}\|^4_\infty\varepsilon^2\ln(1/\varepsilon)}{h^*_{\mathcal{K},f}}}\right\|_r+C_{r,2}(M,\mathcal{K})\|\mathcal{K}\|^2_\infty\varepsilon\sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)}.$$

The constants $C_{r,1}(\mathcal{K})$ *and* $C_{r,2}(M,\mathcal{K})$ *are given in [6], page 11.*

2 Adaptive estimation

In this section we apply the local oracle inequality given by the first assertion of Theorem 1 to the pointwise adaptive estimation over Hölder classes. Next, we use the global oracle inequality for adaptation over Nikol'skii classes.

For any $a > 0$, denote by m_a, the maximal integer strictly less than a, and assume that there exists $\boldsymbol{b} > 0$ such that $\int z^j K(z)\mathrm{d}z = 0, \ \forall j = 1, \ldots, m_{\boldsymbol{b}}$.

Pointwise adaptive estimation.

Definition 1. *Let $\beta > 0$ and $L > 0$. A function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Hölder class $\mathbb{H}(\beta, L)$, if g is m_β-times continuously differentiable, $\|g^{(m)}\|_\infty \le L, \ \forall m \le m_\beta$, and*

$$\left|g^{(m_\beta)}(t+h) - g^{(m_\beta)}(t)\right| \le L h^{\beta - m_\beta}, \ \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \, h > 0.$$

The aim is to estimate $F(x)$ assuming that $F \in \mathbb{F}(\boldsymbol{b}) := \bigcup_{\beta \le \boldsymbol{b}} \bigcup_{L>0} \mathbb{F}_2(\beta, L)$, where

$$\mathbb{F}_d(\beta, L) = \left\{ F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \mid F(z) = f(z^\top \theta), \ f \in \mathbb{H}(\beta, L), \ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \right\}, \, d \ge 2.$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{b}$ can be an arbitrary number, but it must be chosen *a priory*.

Theorem 2. *Let $\boldsymbol{b} > 0$ be fixed and the assumptions on the kernels hold. Then, for any $\beta \le \boldsymbol{b}$, $L > 0$, $x \in [-1/2, 1/2]^2$, with $\psi_\varepsilon(\beta, L) = L^{1/(2\beta+1)} \left(\varepsilon \sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)}\right)^{2\beta/(2\beta+1)}$ we have*

$$\sup_{F \in \mathbb{F}_2(\beta, L)} \mathcal{R}^{(\varepsilon)}_{r,x}\left(\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{h})}, F\right) \le \|\mathcal{K}\|^2_\infty \left[C_{r,1}(\mathcal{K}) \psi_\varepsilon(\beta, L) + C_{r,2}(L, \mathcal{K}) \, \varepsilon \sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)} \right].$$

Moreover, for any $\beta, L > 0$, $d \ge 2$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\inf_{\widetilde{F}} \sup_{F \in \mathbb{F}_d(\beta, L)} \mathcal{R}^{(\varepsilon)}_{r,x}\left(\widetilde{F}, F\right) \ge \varkappa \psi_\varepsilon(\beta, L),$$

where infimum is over all estimators. Here $\varkappa$ is a constant independent of ε and L.

The estimator $\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{h})}$ is minimax adaptive with respect to $\{\mathbb{F}_d(\beta, L), \ \ \beta \le \boldsymbol{b}, \ L > 0\}$. It is surprising, since if the index is known, then $\mathbb{F}(\beta, L) = \mathbb{H}(\beta, L)$, and the problem can be reduced to the estimation of f at a point in the univariate Gaussian white noise model. As it is shown in [5] the optimally rate adaptive estimator over $\{\mathbb{H}(\beta, L), \ \ \beta \le \boldsymbol{b}, \ L > 0\}$ does not exist.

Adaptive estimation under the L_r losses.

Definition 2. *Let $\beta > 0$, $L > 0$, $p \in [1, \infty)$. A function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Nikol'skii class $\mathbb{N}_p(\beta, L)$, if g is m_β-times continuously differentiable,*

$$\|g^{(m)}\|_p \le L, \ \forall m = 1 \le m_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \|g^{(m_\beta)}(\cdot + h) - g^{(m_\beta)}(\cdot)\|_p \le L h^{\beta - m_\beta}, \forall h > 0.$$

We assume $\mathbb{N}_p(\beta, L) = \mathbb{H}(\beta, L)$ if $p = \infty$.

Here the target of estimation is the function F obeying the assumption $F \in \mathbb{F}_p(\boldsymbol{b})$, $\mathbb{F}_p(\boldsymbol{b}) := \bigcup_{\beta \le \boldsymbol{b}} \bigcup_{L>0} \mathbb{F}_{2,p}(\beta, L)$, where

$$\mathbb{F}_{d,p}(\beta, L) = \left\{ F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \mid F(z) = f(z^\top \theta),\ f \in \mathbb{N}_p(\beta, L),\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3. *Let $\boldsymbol{b} > 0$ be fixed and the above assumptions on the kernels hold. Then*

$$\sup_{F \in \mathbb{F}_{2,p}(\beta,L)} \mathcal{R}_r^{(\varepsilon)}\left(\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{h})}, F\right) \le \|\mathcal{K}\|_\infty^2 \left[\varkappa C_{r,1}(\mathcal{K}) \varphi_\varepsilon(\beta, L, p) + C_{r,2}(L, \mathcal{K}) \varepsilon \sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)} \right],$$

for any $L > 0$, $p > 1$, $p^{-1} < \beta \le \boldsymbol{b}$, and $r \ge 1$. Here $\varkappa$ is an absolute constant, and

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(\beta, L, p) = \begin{cases} L^{1/(2\beta+1)} \left(\varepsilon \sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}, & (2\beta+1)p > r; \\ L^{1/(2\beta+1)} \left(\varepsilon \sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} \left[\ln(1/\varepsilon)\right]^{\frac{1}{r}}, & (2\beta+1)p = r; \\ L^{\frac{1/2-1/r}{\beta-1/p+1/2}} \left(\varepsilon \sqrt{\ln(1/\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1/p+1/r}{\beta-1/p+1/2}}, & (2\beta+1)p < r. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathbb{F}_{2,p}(\beta, L) \supset \mathbb{N}_p(\beta, L)$. Indeed, the class $\mathbb{N}_p(\beta, L)$ can be viewed as the class of functions F satisfying $F(\cdot) = f(\theta^\top \cdot)$ with $\theta = (1, 0)^\top$. Then, the problem of estimating such (2-variate) functions can be reduced to the estimation of univariate functions observed in the one-dimensional GWN model. Thus, the rate of convergence for the latter problem, cf. [1, 2] and the references therein, is also the lower bound for the minimax risk defined on $\mathbb{F}_{2,p}(\beta, L)$. Therefore the proposed estimator $\widehat{F}_{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{h})}$ is optimally rate adaptive whenever $(2\beta+1)p < r$. In the case $(2\beta+1)p \ge r$, we loose only a logarithmic factor with respect to the optimal rate, and the construction of optimally rate adaptive estimator over a collection $\left\{\mathbb{F}_{2,p}(\beta, L),\ \beta > 0,\ L > 0\right\}$ in this case remains an open problem.

Références

[1] Delyon, B. and Juditsky, A. (1996). On minimax wavelet estimators. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **3 :3** 215–228.

[2] Donoho, D.L., Johnstone, I.M., Kerkyacharian, G. and Picard, D. (1995). Wawelet shrinkage : asymptopia ? *J.Roy.Statist. Soc.* Ser.R **57** 301–369.

[3] Goldenshluger, A. and Lepski, O. (2009). Structural adaptation via L_p-norm oracle inequalities. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **143 :1-2** 41–71.

[4] Kerkyacharian, G., Lepski, O. and Picard, D. (2001). Nonlinear estimation in anisotropic multi–index denoising. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **121**, 137–170.

[5] Lepskii, O. V. (1990). A problem of adaptive estimation in Gaussian white noise. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **35 :3** 454–466.

[6] Lepski, O. and Serdyukova, N. (2012). Structural adaptation in the single-index model. *ArXiv :1111.3563.*

[7] Lepski, O. V., Mammen, E. and Spokoiny, V.G. (1997). Optimal spatial adaptation to inhomogeneous smoothness : an approach based on kernel estimates with variable bandwidth selectors. *Ann. Statist.* **25 :3** 929–947.